Linux Foundation Collaboration Summit 2010

LTTng, State of the Union

Presentation at: http://www.efficios.com/pub/lfcs2010

E-mail: mathieu.desnoyers@efficios.com



> Presenter

- Mathieu Desnoyers
- EfficiOS Inc.
 - http://www.efficios.com
- Author/Maintainer of
 - LTTng, LTTV, Userspace RCU
- Ph.D. in computer engineering
 - Low-Impact Operating System Tracing



> Plan

- Current state of LTTng
- State of kernel tracing in Linux
- User requirements
- Vertical vs Horizontal integration
- LTTng roadmap for 2010
- Conclusion



> Current status of LTTng

- LTTng dual-licensing: GPLv2/LGPLv2.1
- UST user-space tracer
 - Userspace RCU (LGPLv2.1)
- Eclipse Linux Tools Project LTTng Integration
- User-space static tracepoint integration with gdb
- LTTng kernel tracer
 - maintainance-mode in 2009 (finished my Ph.D.)
 - active development restarting in 2010



> LTTng dual-licensing GPLv2/LGPLv2.1

- LGPLv2.1 license is required to share code with user-space tracer library.
- License chosen to allow tracing of non-GPL applications.
- Headers are licensed under BSD:
 - Demonstrates that these headers can be included in non-GPL code.
- Applies to:
 - LTTng, Tracepoints, Kernel Markers, Immediate Values



> User-space Tracing (UST) (1)

- LTTng port to user-space
- Re-uses Tracepoints and LTTng ring buffer
- Uses Userspace RCU for control synchronization
- Shared memory map with consumer daemon
- Per-process per-cpu ring buffers



> User-space Tracing (UST) (2)

- The road ahead
 - Userspace trace clock for more architectures
 - Some require Linux kernel vDSO support for trace clock
 - Utrace
 - Provide information about thread creation, exec(), etc...
 - Current alternative: overload library symbols



> Userspace RCU

- Licensed under LGPLv2.1 since May 9 2009, with IBM grant use of RCU patent.
- Supports
 - x86 (i386, i486, i586, i686)
 - x86 64-bit
 - PowerPC 32/64
 - S390, S390x
 - Sparcv9 32/64
 - Alpha and ia64 (with gcc 4.x atomic builtins)



> sys_membarrier()

- Useful to Userspace RCU
- Asymmetric distribution of memory barrier cost using IPIs
 - Lightweight reader synchronization
- Currently x86, more architectures to come
- State: submitted



> State of kernel tracing in Linux

- Instrumentation
- Tracers



> State of Linux instrumentation

- Things are going very well
 - Tracepoints
 - Many subsystems instrumented
 - System call instrumentation
 - TRACE_EVENT()
 - Dynamic Probes
 - Function Tracer
 - Performance Counters
- Interoperability



> State of Linux tracers

- Ftrace, Perf
 - Opening the Linux kernel developer community to tracing
 - Centered on kernel developers requirements
 - Still missing the point for companies developing on top of Linux (end users)
 - Telecommunication companies
 - Embedded systems
 - Enterprise servers
 - And many many more



> User requirements (1)

Reflects the needs of the following users:

- Google
- IBM
- Ericsson
- Nokia
- Siemens
- Freescale

- Wind River
- Monta Vista
- Autodesk
- Cisco
- Mentor Graphics
- Texas Instruments



> User requirements (2)

- Compactness of traces
- Scalability to multi-core and multi-processor
- Low-overhead is key
- Production-grade tracer reliability



> User requirements (3)

- Heterogeneous environment support
 - Portability
 - Distinct host/target environment support
 - Management of multiple target kernel versions
 - No dependency on kernel image to analyze traces (traces contain complete information)



> User requirements (4)

- Network streaming support
- Live view/analysis of trace streams
- System-wide (kernel and user-space) traces
- Scalability of analysis tools to very large data sets



> Vertical vs Horizontal integration

- Vertical code integration
 - Changes the core kernel
 - Kernel-wide impact
 - Infrastructure must be common and shared
 - Requires piecewise integration
 - e.g. instrumentation, trace clock



> Vertical vs Horizontal integration

- Horizontal integration
 - Stand-alone "driver" code
 - Localized impact
 - Infrastructure <u>can</u> be common and shared, but not necessarily
 - Factoring out and merging duplicated features can be done as needed, incrementally
 - e.g. tracer core



> LTTng tracer core

- Trace Session Management
- Information Channels Management
- Wait-Free Ring Buffer
- Ring Buffer Allocation
- Data Transport with splice()
- Kernel API
- Userspace Interface (debugfs)



> LTTng roadmap for 2010

- Have the luxury to work full-time on LTTng mainlining in 2010
- Work undertaken in collaboration with
 - Ericsson, Nokia, Wind River, Freescale, Mentor Graphics, Monta Vista, Sony, CELF
- Plan
 - Vertical integration of static instrumentation and metadata
 - TRACE_EVENT()

Mathieu Desnoyers

Horizontal integration of the LTTng tracer core



> LTTng core merge plan

- Cleanup of the Ittng tree
- Extraction of the LTTng tracer core into approximately 50 patches
 - Create temporary branch Ittng-staging
- Send piecewise (5 patches at a time) on LKML for review, with pointer to Ittng-staging
 - Merge incrementally into branch Ittng-for-mainline
- Git pull request when done with the whole branch



> Conclusion

- Linux instrumentation has made good progress in 2009
- Requirements differ between kernel developers and many Linux end-users
- Need for a kernel tracer fulfilling these user requirements



> Questions?

- Tracing Mini-Summit at LinuxCon 2010
 - http://lttng.org/tracingsummit





- http://www.efficios.com
- LTTng Information
 - http://lttng.org
 - Itt-dev@lists.casi.polymtl.ca

